

Lyapunov stability of the solution for the impulsive differential equations

Sirirat Suksai



Srinakharinwirot University
Thailand

Let \mathbb{R}^n be the n -dimensional Euclidean space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and let $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$.

Let Ω be the phase space of some evolutionary process .

Denote by P_t the point mapping the process at the moment t .



assume that the state of the process is determined by n parameters.

Then P_t as a point (t, x) of the $(n+1)$ -dimension space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and Ω as a set in \mathbb{R}^n .

Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $r = \text{const} > 0$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ and $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.



$PC[J, \Omega] = \{ \sigma : J \rightarrow \Omega \} : \sigma(t)$ is a piecewise continuous function with points of discontinuity $\tilde{t} \in J$ at which $\sigma(\tilde{t} - 0)$ and $\sigma(\tilde{t} + 0)$ and $\sigma(\tilde{t} - 0) = \sigma(\tilde{t})$.



$C(J_k, \mathbb{R})$: set of continuous function on J_k

$C^n(J_k, \mathbb{R})$: set of continuous differentiable
function order n on J_k

When $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$



Consider

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -x''(t) &= f\left(t, x(t), x(\alpha(t))\right) - M(t)x'(t) \equiv Fx(t), \\ & t \neq t_k, t \in J = [-r, 0], \\ \Delta x(t) &= I_k\left(x(t), x'(t)\right), t = t_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \Delta x'(t) &= I_k^*\left(x(t), x'(t)\right), t = t_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ x(0) &= x(J), \quad x'(0) = x'(J). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$



When $f \in C^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, $\alpha \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$, $0 \leq \alpha(t) \leq t$,

$$I_k, I_k^* \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}), M \in C(J, \mathbb{R}_+),$$

$$\Delta x(t) = x(t+0) - x(t-0), \quad (2)$$

$$\Delta x'(t) = x'(t+0) - x'(t-0),$$

and for $t \geq t_0$, $x_t \in PC[J, \Omega]$ is defined by

$$x_t(s) = x_t(t+s), \quad -r \leq s \leq 0.$$



Let $\varphi_0 \in PC[J, \Omega]$. Denote by $x(t) = x(t; t_0, \varphi_0)$ the solution of system (1), (2), satisfying the initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} x(t; t_0, \varphi_0) = \varphi_0(t - t_0), & t_0 - r \leq t \leq t_0 \\ x(t_0 + 0; t_0, \varphi_0) = \varphi_0(0) \\ x'(t_0 + 0; t_0, \varphi_0) = \varphi_0'(0) \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Let $J_1 = [t_0, \omega)$, $J_2 = [t_0, \tilde{\omega})$ and $J_1 \subseteq J_2$.



From Stamova et al., 2009

Introduce the following conditions:

H1.1. The function f is continuous in

$$[t_0, \infty) \times PC[J, \Omega], J = [-r, 0]$$

H1.2. The function f is locally Lipschitz continuous with respect to its second argument in

$$[t_0, \infty) \times PC[J, \Omega]$$



H1.3. There exists a constant $P > 0$ such that

$$\|f(t, x_t)\| \leq P < \infty \text{ for } (t, x_t) \in [t_0, \infty) \times PC[J, \Omega]$$

H1.4. The functions τ_k are Lipschitz continuous with respect to $x \in \Omega$ with Lipschitz constants L_k ,

$$0 \leq L_k < 1/p, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

H1.5. $t_0 < \tau_1(x) < \tau_2(x) < \dots, x \in \Omega$



H1.6. $\tau_k(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly on $x \in \Omega$

H1.7. $\tau_k(x + I_k(x)) \leq \tau_k(x)$ and

$\tau_k(x + I_k^*(x)) \leq \tau_k^*(x)$ for $x \in \Omega, k = 1, 2, \dots$

H1.8. For each $(t_0, \varphi_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times PC[J, \Omega]$, the solution of initial value problem without impulses (1), (3) does not leave the domain Ω for $t \geq t_0$.

H1.9. $(E + I_k): \Omega \rightarrow \Omega, k = 1, 2, \dots$, where E is the identity in Ω .



H1.10. The functions I_k, I_k^* are Lipschitz continuous with respect to $x \in \Omega$ with Lipschitz constants $\Lambda_k, 0 \leq \Lambda_k < 1 - L_k P, k = 1, 2, \dots$

H1.11. $I_k, I_k^* \in C[\Omega, \Omega], k = 1, 2, \dots$

H1.12. $t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k < t_{k+1} < \dots$

H1.13. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \infty$



Let $\tau_0(x) \equiv t_0$ for $x \in \Omega$. Introduced the following condition:

H2.1. $\tau_k \in C[\Omega, (t_0, \infty)]$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Assuming that H2.1, H1.5, and H1.6 are fulfilled, we consider

$$\sigma_k = \{(t, x) : t = \tau_k(x), x \in \Omega\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$



Introduce the following notations:

$\|\varphi\|_k = \sup_{t \in [t_0 - r, t_0]} \|\varphi(t - t_0)\|$ is the norm of the function

$$\varphi \in PC[J, \Omega]$$

$K = \{a \in C[\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+] : a(r) \text{ is strictly increasing and } a(0) = 0\}$.

In the case $r = \infty$ we have

$$\|\varphi\|_r = \|\varphi\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in (-\infty, t_0]} \|\varphi(t - t_0)\|$$



Introduce the following conditions:

H2.2. $f(t, 0) = 0, t \geq t_0.$

H2.3. $I_k(0) = 0$ and $I_k^*(0) = 0, k = 1, 2, \dots$

H2.4. The integral curves of the system (1) meet successively each one of the hypersurfaces

$\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots$ exactly once.

Let $t_1, t_2, \dots (t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots)$ be the moments in which the integral curve $(t, x(t; t_0, \varphi_0))$ of problem (1), (2) meets $\sigma_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$



Definition 1. The zero solution $x(t) \equiv 0$ of system (1) is said to be:

(a) *stable*, if

$$(\forall t_0 \in \mathbb{R})(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists \delta = \delta(t_0, \varepsilon) > 0)$$

$$(\forall \varphi_0 \in PC[J, \Omega]: \|\varphi_0\|_r < \delta)(\forall t \geq t_0): \|x(t; t_0, \varphi_0)\| < \varepsilon;$$

(b) *uniformly stable*, if the number in (a) is independent of $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$;



(c) *attractive*, if

$$(\forall t_0 \in \mathbb{R})(\exists \lambda = \lambda(t_0) > 0)(\forall \varphi_0 \in PC[J, \Omega]: \|\varphi_0\|_r < \lambda):$$
$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t; t_0, \varphi_0) = 0;$$

(d) *equi-attractive*, if

$$(\forall t_0 \in \mathbb{R})(\exists \lambda = \lambda(t_0) > 0)(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists T = T(t_0, \varepsilon) > 0)$$
$$(\forall \varphi_0 \in PC[J, \Omega]: \|\varphi_0\|_r < \lambda)(\forall t \geq t_0 + T): \|x(t; t_0, \varphi_0)\| < \varepsilon;$$



(e) *uniformly attractive*, if the numbers λ and T in (d) are independent of $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$;

(f) *asymptotically stable*, if it is stable and attractive;

(g) *uniformly asymptotically stable*, if it is uniformly stable and uniformly attractive;

(h) *unstable*, if

$$\left(\exists t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \right) \left(\exists \varepsilon > 0 \right) \left(\forall \delta > 0 \right) \left(\exists \varphi_0 \in PC[J, \Omega] : \|\varphi_0\|_r < \delta \right) \\ \left(\exists t \geq t_0 \right) : \|x(t; t_0, \varphi_0)\| \geq \varepsilon;$$



In the proofs of main theorems, we use piecewise continuous Lyapunov functions

$$V : [t_0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, V \in V_0$$

for which the following condition is true:

H2.5. $V(t, 0) = 0, t \geq t_0.$

Theorem 1. Assume that:

- (1) *Conditions* H1.1, H1.2, H1.3, H1.5, H1.6, H1.9, H1.11, H2.1–H2.4 *hold.*



(2) There exists a function $V \in V_0$ such that H2.5 holds,

$$a(\|x\|) \leq V(t, x), a \in K, (t, x) \in [t_0, \infty) \times \Omega \quad (4)$$

$$V(t+0, x + I_k(x)) \leq V(t, x), (t, x) \in \sigma_k, k=1,2,\dots, \quad (5)$$

and the inequality

$$D_{(1)}^+ V(t, x(t)) \leq 0, t \neq \tau_k(x(t)), k=1,2,\dots,$$

is valid for $t \in [t_0, \infty), x \in \Omega_1$.

Then the zero solution of system (1) is stable.



Theorem 2. Let the conditions of Theorem 1 hold, and let a function $b \in K$ exist such that

$$V(t, x) \leq b(\|x\|), (t, x) \in (t_0, \infty) \times \Omega. \quad (8)$$

Then the zero solution of system (1) is uniformly stable.

